

REMARKS

Claims 1-42 were pending in this application. Claims 1-42 have been canceled. Claims 43-59 have been added.

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated April 2, 2010, Applicants have renumbered the claims so there are no longer two claims 54.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As claims 1-42 have been withdrawn, the rejection is moot.

Further, the new claims specifically call for a computer readable medium that does not comprise a carrier wave. In addition, the new method is to be performed by a computer and computing devices. Accordingly, the new claims meet the statutory requirements of section 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 15-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Weisman et al.* [US Patent Application No 2002/0112058].

Claims 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 33, 35 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Trossen* [US Patent Application No 2004/0128344].

The new claims 43-58 are new and more detailed. Several elements of independent claims 43 are not in the cited reference, which is logical, as the claims are new. For example, claim 43 call for an “invitation to view the presentation,” “acceptance ...that invitation to view the presentation” and “advertising that a presentation is available.” Presentations are mentioned in Weisman in terms of using URLs to present items, not a presentation as called for in the claims. The word “presentation” is in Weisman, but the presentation is entirely different. For example, paragraph 0817 gives a high level description of presentation.

0817 The fifth step in UPnP networking is presentation. If a device has a URL for presentation, then the control point can retrieve a page from this URL, load the page into a browser, and depending on the capabilities of the page, allow a user to control the device and/or view device status. The degree to which each of these can be accomplished depends on the specific capabilities of the presentation page and device. The section on Presentation below explains the protocol for retrieving a presentation page.

The claims call for a more detailed system directed to a presentation like a Powerpoint presentation. Unique device names (UDN) and handles are used rather than URLs and pages. A more direct ,fulfilling and computationally efficient experience is possible following the claims rather than the cited reference.

DEPENDANT CLAIMS

As elements are missing in the cited references from the independent claims, these same elements are missing from the dependant claims. For example, claim 44 and claims dependant there from call for a projector. A projector is not even mentioned in the cited references. Allowing multiple parties to run and view a presentations is a complex task and requires many APIs which are described in the claims.

In addition, the dependant claims are not just dependant on the independent claim, but are dependant in a cascading fashion, resulting in the dependent claims becoming progressively more narrow and specific, making it even more unlikely that all the claims are anticipated or obvious. As the elements in the claims are missing from the cited references, the claims should be allowed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment and arguments, the applicant submits the pending application is in condition for allowance and an early action so indicating is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 13-2855, under Order No. 30835/306544 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: April 15, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By /W. J. Kramer #46,229/
William J. Kramer
Registration No.: 46,229

Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399
Direct telephone (425) 707-9382